# Tackling Reactivity and Selectivity within a Strained Architecture: Construction of the [6−6−5−7] Tetracyclic Core of Calyciphylline Alkaloids

Chen Xu,<sup>†</sup> Lu Wang,<sup>†</sup> Xiaojiang Hao,<sup>\*,‡</sup> and David Zhigang Wang<sup>\*,†</sup>

† Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, School of C[he](#page-5-0)mical Biology and Biotechnology, [She](#page-5-0)nzhen Graduate School of Peking University, Shenzhen University Town, Nanshan District, Shenzhen 518055, China

‡ Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650204, China

**S** Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: A stereochemically controlled route to the enantiopure [6–6–5–7] tetracyclic core of Calyciphylline A class alkaloids was established, which involves Overman rearrangement, [2 + 2] photochemical cycloaddition, Grob fragmentation, C− N bond-forming nucleophilic displacement, and ring strain-directed hydrogenation as strategic steps.

The Daphniphyllum class alkaloids have in recent years garnered much attention due primarily to their unusual structural diversities and stereochemical complexities.<sup>1</sup> Driven by their aesthetical appeal as well as the desire to explore their so far poorly studied biological utilities, several la[bo](#page-5-0)ratories have engaged in chemical synthesis of a certain member of this remarkable natual product family.<sup>2</sup> In this field, Carreira and coworkers have recently recorded a landmark accomplishment by completing a highly stereos[el](#page-5-0)ective total synthesis of  $(+)$ -daphmanidin E.<sup>3</sup>

Our own efforts have been focused on Calyciphylline A type compounds within t[h](#page-5-0)is family.<sup>4</sup> As highlighted in blue in Figure 1, a recurring skeletal motif found among these structures is the highly stereochemically fused [6−6−5−7] tetracyclic core. It [co](#page-1-0)uld thus be recognized that the goal of establishing a divergent synthetic approach to such diverse targets would be most economically served by defining an efficient and stereocontrolled strategy to this tetracycle. A previous  $communication<sup>2e</sup>$  from our group had disclosed progress made to build the simpler [5−6−7] tricyclic moiety (i.e., rings B−C−D i[n](#page-5-0) structure 1 of Scheme 1). Implementation of the previously uncovered key transformations in the more elaborated [6−6−5−7] setting had, ho[we](#page-1-0)ver, demanded new strategic planning, as it was subsequently discovered that substrates-directed double bond hydrogenations within those tricycles surprisingly led only to an *anti*-C<sup>5</sup>-Me-versus-C<sup>6</sup>-H orientation (Scheme 1) that is opposite to what is present in natural products. Furthermore, the attempted piperidine A ring closure via an intram[ole](#page-1-0)cular  $C^9$ –N bond-forming nucleophilic displacement event<sup>5</sup> was repeatedly hampered by failures in

identifying an appropriate alcohol protecting group (i.e.,  $P_2$ group, Scheme 1) that is both easy to manipulate and compatible with Mannich condensation<sup>6</sup> conditions employed earlier in the seq[ue](#page-1-0)nce for assembling the key precursor 5 for an enone−alkene [2 + 2] photoch[em](#page-6-0)ical cycloaddition. Challenged by solving these significant problems, a substantially revised synthetic plan was thus designed and furthe[r](#page-6-0) experimentally explored. We reported herein the success in execution of such plans for rapid construction of the critical [6−6−5−7] tetracycle and with all of the seven stereogenic centers densely situated on the ring junctions (i.e.,  $C^1$ ,  $C^2$ ,  $C^4$ ,  $C^5$ ,  $C^6$ ,  $C^7$ , and  $N^8$ ) clearly defined in a way that exactly corresponds to the absolute configurations found in natural products.

As shown in Scheme 1, we envisioned that the ring closure of piperidine A of the tetracycle 1 could be in situ initiated by liberating the basic n[itr](#page-1-0)ogen center in 2 toward an intramolecular  $S_N$ 2 displacement of the  $C^9$ -mesylate. Its immediate precursor 3 could be furnished through a Grob-type fragmentation<sup>8</sup> on an advanced intermediate 4, which itself was accessible with an intramolecular  $[2 + 2]$  photochemical cycloaddition event on an allylic amine-tethered enone substrate  $5.^{2e}$  The assembly of  $5$  by Mannich condensation of an appropriately functionalized iminium ion 6 and cyclopentanedio[ne](#page-5-0) 7 had emerged as a major challenge as its success, rather unexpectedly, was found (vide infra) to be critically dependent on the nature of a seemingly remotely positioned

Received: April 23, 2012 Published: June 12, 2012

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Calyciphylline A class alkaloids featuring the common [6−6−5−7] tetracyclic core structure.



alcohol protecting group  $P_2$ .<sup>9</sup> It was only after extensive trialand-error efforts that we eventually determined 4-methox $y$ phenyl $10$  to be a viable st[ru](#page-6-0)cture compatible with both the strongly Lewis acidic Mannich reaction promoter and shortwavele[ngt](#page-6-0)h light employed in subsequent photocycloadditions.

Scheme 2 summarized our experimental explorations leading to an advanced tetracyclic alkene intermediate 21. Starting from the k[n](#page-2-0)own enantiopure diol  $8<sub>1</sub><sup>11</sup>$  primary alcohol-protected 9 was prepared by the action of tosylation and 4-methoxyphenoxide substitution. Imidation of [th](#page-6-0)e allylic alcohol with  $\text{CCl}_3\text{CN}$ followed by Overman rearrangement<sup>12</sup> under xylene refluxing conditions converted 9 into allylic trichloroacetamide 10. Treatment of 10 with MeOH and [the](#page-6-0)n HCHO under basic conditions yielded the hydroxymethylated carbamate 12 through the intermediacy of 11. Mannich unification of 12 with cyclopentanedione was found to be effected by  $Sn(NTf_2)_4$ leading to structure 13 in 77% isolated yield.<sup>13</sup> A range of other Lewis acids known in the arts<sup>6</sup> for promoting Mannich condensations were also examined but f[oun](#page-6-0)d to be either inefficient or labile for incur[ri](#page-6-0)ng substantial substrate decomposition. Acylation of the enol in 13 with acetyl chloride produced 14 which was next subjected to photolysis with a medium-pressure Hg lamp emitting light primarily around 254 nm, yielding highly substituted and congested [2 + 2] cyclobutane product 15 with complete stereochemical control. It merits attention that this represents a very rare example of photochemical  $[2 + 2]$  cycloadditions on N-tethered substrates in complex synthesis setting.<sup>14</sup> Accompanying 15 was a diketone byproduct  $16$   $(15:16 = 50:29)$  whose formation

could apparently be attributed to a strain-releasing-driven retro-Aldol-type collapse of the keto-ester moiety of 15.<sup>15</sup> Reduction of the ketone carbonyl from its less-hindered face in 15 followed by mesylation of the resultant alcohol 1[7](#page-6-0) readily sets the stage for base-triggered Grob-fragmentation to give tricyclic alkene 18, with a remarkable 79% isolated yield over these three steps.

It should be noted that in 16 the C<sup>5</sup>-Me and C<sup>6</sup>-H displayed spatially an anti-orientation (NOESY), which, in conjunction with our earlier observations in tricyclic alkene model systems that catalytic hydrogenations failed to deliver the desired (S) configuration at the  $C^6$  chiral center, hints on the difficulty of reverting the inherent substrate thermodynamic bias imposed by saturating the double bond in 18 toward the requiste  $syn\text{-}C^5$ -Me-versus- $C^6$ -H stereochemistry. Hypothetically, a solution to this problem would invite consideration on crafting 18's relatively flat tricyclic skeleton into a much reinforced bowltwisted tetracyclic architecture as widely present in Calyciphylline A-class alkaloids. With this design concept in mind, 18 was next transformed to 20 in very high yield by sequential protecting group oxidative removal and alcohol mesylation. Delightfully, exposure of 20 to the action of TMSI<sup>16</sup> and Et<sub>3</sub>N was found to lead to the formation of the desired structure 21 in 80% isolated yield under very mild conditions.

Indeed, PtO<sub>2</sub>-promoted catalytic hydrogenation<sup>[17](#page-6-0)</sup> of 21 was found to proceed exclusively from the exposed convex face of its bowl-twisted skeleton, leading to the target [22](#page-6-0) with the correct  $C^6$  stereochemistry (Scheme 3). The syn- $C^5$ -Me-versus- $C^6$ -H orientation was clearly manifested by their nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) correla[tio](#page-3-0)n. The reduction was remarkably facile, completing at room temperature in just 2 h and with 94% isolated yield. In marked contrast, similar action on a tricyclic structure  $23^{2e}$  produced 24 only very slowly (16 h) with opposite alkene enantiofacial recognition and poor C C versus  $C=O$  functiona[lit](#page-5-0)y selectivity, the combined yield of 24 after reoxidation of the alcohol byproduct was 74%. Such an intriguing discrepancy in both reactivity and stereoselectivity could most reasonably be rationalized by effects of conformational shielding as well as ring junction strain that are uniquely associated with the double bond embedded in the bowl-shaped architecture of 21.<sup>18</sup>

In summary, through exploring functionality compatibility and substrate-rein[for](#page-6-0)ced stereoelectronic control, we were able to solve some critical problems that were, as revealed in simpler model systems, otherwise impossible to overcome. Our strategy

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>Scheme 2. Construction of [6−6−5−7] Tetracyclic Alkene 21



features Overman rearrangement,  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & + & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  photochemical cycloaddition, Grob fragmentation, C−N bond-forming nucleophilic displacement, and polycyclic ring strain-directed hydrogenation as key steps and culminates in construction of the common [6−6−5−7] tetracyclic core of Calyciphylline A type alkaloids in a highly efficient and stereocontrolled manner. We believed that the success disclosed here would help establish an enabling and supportive platform on which diversity-oriented syntheses of this family of fascinating natural products could be convergently tackled. Continued efforts toward total syntheses of some members in this class are currently being pursued and will be reported in due course.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

(1S,5S)-5-((S)-1-(4-Methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohex-2-enol (9). To a solution of alcohol  $8^{11}$  (4.7 g, 27.6 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (80 mL) were added pyridine (4.7 mL, 55.2 mmol), TsCl (7.9 g, 41.4 mmol) and DMAP (674 mg, 5.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 h at room temperature and quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined layers were washed with brine  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $2/1$ ) to give an intermediate (5.2 g) in 58% yield as yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (t, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (dd, J  $= 9.6, 5.2$  Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, J = 9.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 1.92 (dd, J = 11.8, 6 Hz, 1H), 1.80 (br s, 2H), 1.75−1.57 (m, overlapped, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.09 (quartet, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 0.84 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 144.8, 136.5, 132.9, 129.8, 127.8, 123.3, 73.0, 70.7, 36.8, 35.5, 34.5, 29.6, 21.6, 18.7, 13.2; HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{24}O_4$ SNa  $(M + Na^+)$  347.1293, found 347.1286.

To a solution of p-methoxy phenol (4.36 g, 35 mmol) in DMF (70 mL) at 0 °C was added NaH (1.76 g, 44 mmol) and the mixture <span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 3. Construction of [6−6−5−7] Tetracyclic Core 22: Remarkable Conformational Strain-Driven Reactivity and Stereoselectivity



stirred for 1 h. Then the solution of the above-prepared intermediate (9.5 g, 29.3 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was added into the mixture via syringe, and the reaction was warmed to room temperature. After being stirred for 16 h, the mixture was poured into ice−water (100 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 80$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  80 mL) and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate =  $5/1$ ) to give 9 (6.9 g) in 85% yield as white solid:  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.80 (s, 4H), 5.45 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 4.20−4.10 (m, 1H), 3.88−3.80 (m, 1H), 3.79−3.70 (m, overlapped, 4H), 2.14 (dd, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, 1H), 2.0−1.70 (m, overlapped, 4H), 1.73 (s, 3H), 1.54 (br s, 1H), 1.30−1.20 (m, 1H), 1.00 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.7, 153.3, 136.4, 124.1, 115.4, 114.6, 71.5, 71.1, 55.7, 37.2, 36.0, 35.3, 30.0, 18.8, 13.8; HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{24}NaO_3$   $(M + Na<sup>+</sup>)$  299.1623, found 299.1616; mp 58.1–58.5  $^{\circ}C.$ 

2,2,2-Trichloro-N-((1R,5R)-5-((S)-1-(4-methoxyphenoxy) propan-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohex-2-enyl)acetamide (10). To a solution of allylic alcohol 9 (2.89 g, 10.5 mmol) in dry  $CH_2Cl_2$  (50 mL) was added DBU (2 mL, 15.8 mmol), and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. To this solution was added 2,2,2-trichloroacetonitrile (2 mL, 21 mmol) over 20 min. After being stirred at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with satd NH4Cl solution. The organic layer was washed with satd NH<sub>4</sub>Cl solution  $(x2)$ , passed through a column packed with anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and silica gel (to remove polymeric products), and evaporated under reduced pressure to give crude trichloroacetimidate, which was used for the following step without any purifications. To a solution of the crude imidate in xylene (105 mL) was added powdered anhydrous  $K_2CO_3$  (2 g), and the mixture was heated at reflux temperature for 6 h with vigorous stirring. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was filtered through a pad of Super-Cel, and the precipitate was washed with toluene. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by a flash chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $8/1$ ) to give 10  $(2.2 \text{ g})$  in 50% yield as a white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.80 (s, 4H), 6.45 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.62–5.58 (m, 1H), 4.62−4.50 (m, 1H), 3.83 (dd, J = 9.2, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.72 (dd, J = 9.2, 6 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (dd, J = 12, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.09−1.82 (m, overlapped, 4H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.32 (quartet,  $J = 12$  Hz, 1H), 0.98 (d, J  $= 6.8$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.6, 153.8, 153.2, 132.6, 126.3, 115.4, 114.6, 92.9, 71.4, 55.7, 52.3, 37.2, 35.1, 34.4, 27.5, 19.2, 13.3; HRMS calcd for  $C_{19}H_{25}Cl_3NO_3 (M + H<sup>+</sup>)$  420.0900, found 420.0887; mp 88.9−89.3 °C.

Methyl (1R,5R)-5-((S)-1-(4-Methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2 methylcyclohex-2- enylcarbamate (11). Trimethoxymethane (1.1 mL) and p-toluensulfonic acid (101 mg, 0.58 mmol) were placed in a sealed tube. DMF (27.5 mL) and MeOH (6.4 mL) were added, and the mixture was stirred at reflux temperature for 1 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the mixture was added with

trichloroacetamide 10 (2.2 g, 5.23 mmol) and  $Na_2CO_3$  (1.1 g, 10.34 mol). Then the reaction was conducted in the sealed tube at 150 °C for 4 h and cooled to room temperature. The mixture was quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL), diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  20 mL), washed with H<sub>2</sub>O  $(1 \times 30 \text{ mL})$  and brine  $(1 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ , dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $8/1$ ) to give 11 (1.45 g) in 82% yield as white solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.80 (s, 4H), 5.50  $(s, 1H)$ , 4.49 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (br s, 1H), 3.86–3.79 (m, 1H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.71−3.62 (m, overlapped, total 4H), 2.07 (dd, J = 11.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.96 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 1.90−1.77 (m, 3H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.25−1.15 (m, 1H), 0.95 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 156.9, 153.7, 153.2, 134.2, 124.9, 115.4, 114.6, 71.5, 55.7, 52.0, 51.9, 37.2, 35.7, 35.4, 27.7, 19.4, 13.4; HRMS calcd for  $C_{19}H_{28}NO_4$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 334.2018, found 334.2024; mp 128.1–128.5  $^{\circ}C$ 

Methyl (Hydroxymethyl) $((1R, 5R) - 5 - ((S) - 1 - (4$ methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohex-2-enyl) carbamate (12). To a solution of 11 (390 mg, 1.2 mmol) in t-BuOH (12 mL) at room temperature was added t-BuOK (650 mg, 5.85 mmol) followed by  $(HCHO)<sub>n</sub>$  (351 mg, 11.7 mmol). The resulting yellow suspension was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, and then satd NH4Cl (10 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(2 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , and the combined organic layers were washed first with water (30 mL) and then with brine (30 mL) and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a colorless oil, which was purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $8/1$ ) to give 12 (169 mg) in 54% yield as a colorless oil (based on 102 mg starting material recovered): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.80 and 6.79 (each s, total 4H), 5.63 (br s, 1H), 4.95−4.88 (m, 1H), 4.87 and 4.60 (each br s, total 1H), 4.25 (t, J = 10 Hz, 1H), 3.86–3.65 (m, overlapped, 8H), 3.65−3.55 and 2.86−2.75 (each m, total 1H), 2.01−1.75 (m, overlapped, 6H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d,  $J = 6.4$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub> some peaks double due to amide conformational isomers) δ 157.7, 153.7, 153.7, 153.3, 132.9, 132.7, 127.2, 115.5, 114.6, 71.6, 68.6, 67.9, 57.1, 55.7, 52.9, 52.7, 37.4, 37.4, 35.7, 35.5, 33.8, 33.5, 27.7, 27.4, 19.7, 19.5, 13.4, 13.3; HRMS calcd for  $C_{20}H_{29}NNaO_5$  (M + Na<sup>+</sup> ) 386.1943, found 386.1936.

Methyl ((2-Hydroxy-5-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl)methyl)- ((1R,5R)-5-((S)-1-(4-methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-yl)carbamate (13). To a solution of the 12 (300 mg, 0.83 mmol) in 8 mL of  $CH<sub>3</sub>CN$  were successively added under an argon atmosphere 1,3-cyclopentanedione (162 mg, 1.65 mmol) and  $Sn(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>·9DMSO$  (242 mg, 0.12 mmol, 15 mol %). The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 4 h, and then the solution was quenched with a 5% aqueous solution of  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate = 4/1) to give 13 (283 mg) in 77% yield as yellow oil:  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 12.14 (s, 1H), 6.85–6.75 (m, 4H), 5.73 (s, 1H), 4.64 (br s, 1H), 3.81−3.69 (m, overlapped, 2H), 3.77(s, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.69−3.59 (m, 2H), 2.48 (br s, 2H), 2.40−2.30 (m, 2H), <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 203.3, 189.4, 160.8, 153.6, 153.4, 131.4, 128.7, 115.3, 114.9, 114.6, 71.4, 58.0, 55.7, 53.8, 37.2, 35.3, 34.0, 33.7, 31.3, 26.9, 19.4, 13.2; HRMS calcd for  $C_{25}H_{34}NO_6 (M + H^+)$ 444.2386, found 444.2386.

 $2-(((Method xycarbony))((1 R, 5 R)-5-((S)-1-(4$ methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-yl) amino)methyl)-3-oxocyclopent-1-en-1-yl Acetate (14). To a solution of 13 (324 mg, 0.73 mmol) in 4 mL of  $CH_2Cl_2$  at 0 °C were added consecutively triethylamine (0.3 mL, 2.19 mmol) and acetyl chloride (150  $\mu$ L, 2.19 mmol). After being stirred at 0 °C for 1.5 h, the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of satd NH4Cl, and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate = 2/1) to give 14 (337 mg) in 95% yield as yellow oil:  $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.79 (s, 4H), 5.62 (br s, 1H), 4.88 and 4.69 (each br s, total 1H), 4.12−3.95 (m, 1H), 3.70−3.40 (m, overlapped, 9H), 2.85−2.70 (m, 2H), 2.50−2.31 (m, 2H), 2.15 and 2.12 (each s, total 3H), 1.99−1.68 (m, overlapped, total 6H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 0.93 (d,  $J = 5.6$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub> some peaks double due to amide conformational isomers)  $\delta$  205.1, 176.8, 175.8, 166.8, 153.7, 153.3, 132.9, 127.7, 126.4, 115.3, 114.6, 71.5, 61.9, 57.6, 57.3, 55.8, 52.6, 37.4, 36.5, 35.8, 34.2, 34.0, 32.0, 31.3, 28.1, 27.5, 27.1, 19.4, 13.8, 13.4; HRMS calcd for  $C_{27}H_{36}NO_7$   $(M + H^+)$ 486.2492, found 486.2482.

**Synthesis of 15 and 16.** A solution of 14 (60 mg, 0.12 mmol) in acetonitrile (90 mL) in a photoreaction vessel (quartz) was degassed by bubbling  $N_2$  through the solution for 15 min. The resulting solution was then irradiated (10 W medium pressure lamp, 254 nm) for 1 h at 0 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Evaporation of volatiles and purification by flash chromatography (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $4/1$ ) to give 15 (30 mg) in 50% yield as yellow oil and 16 (15 mg) in 29% yield as yellow oil.

(2aR,2a<sup>1</sup> R,4R,5aS,5bS,8aR)-Methyl 5b-acetoxy-4-((S)-1-(4 methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2a <sup>1</sup> -methyl-8 oxodecahydrocyclopenta[1,4]cyclobuta[1,2,3-cd]indole-2(1H) carboxylate (15): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.79 (s, 4H), 3.81 (dd, J = 9, 6 Hz, 1H), 3.76−3.69 (m, overlapped, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.645 (s, 3H), 3.49 (dd,  $J = 10$ , 2 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (d,  $J = 12.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.74−2.65 (m, 1H), 2.50−2.29 (m, overlapped, 4H), 2.21 (br s, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 1.87−1.77 (m, overlapped, 2H), 1.68−1.52 (m, 3H), 1.12 (s, 3H), 0.94 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 214.8, 170.4, 155.9, 153.8, 153.4, 115.5, 114.7, 80.5, 71.4, 64.1, 63.0, 55.8, 52.2, 50.8, 48.9, 47.3, 38.7, 38.2, 35.4, 31.1, 26.8, 21.5, 21.2, 21.0, 13.6; HRMS calcd for  $C_{27}H_{36}NO_7$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 486.2492, found 486.2494.

(2aR,2a<sup>1</sup>R,6aS,8R,9aR)-Methyl 8-((S)-1-(4-methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2a<sup>1</sup>-methyl-3,6-dioxododecahydro-1Hcyclohepta[cd]indole-1-carboxylate (16): <sup>1</sup> H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.82–6.72 (m, 4H), 4.15 and 4.08 (each dd, J = 12, 8.8 Hz and J = 11.8, 9.2 Hz, total 1H), 3.70−3.89 (m, overlapped, 3H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.69 and 3.66 (each s, total 3H), 3.73−3.70 and 3.58 (m, overlapped and dd,  $J = 14$ , 5.6 Hz, total 1H), 3.48 and 3.44 (each dd,  $J$  $= 11, 9.2$  Hz and  $J = 11.6, 8.8$  Hz, total 1H), 3.19 (td,  $J = 13.2, 4$  Hz, 1H), 2.72−2.56 (m, overlapped, 2H), 2.51−2.39 (m, 1H), 2.34 (dt, J = 12, 4 Hz, 1H), 2.23−2.15 and 2.08−1.98 (each m, total 1H), 1.97− 1.87 (m, 1H), 1.82−1.76 (m, 1H), 1.69−1.62 (m, overlapped, 2H), 1.29−1.11 (m, 1H), 0.51 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3 \text{ some peaks double due to amide conformational})$ isomers) δ 210.7, 210.6, 206.3, 205.9, 155.1, 153.9, 153.0, 115.5, 115.4, 114.7, 71.4, 71.3, 66.2, 66.1, 60.5, 55.8, 52.4, 51.4, 50.4, 43.7, 43.2, 42.9, 42.2, 38.3, 37.3, 36.2, 36.1, 33.5, 323.0, 32.2, 28.5, 28.2, 23.5,

23.4, 13.7, 13.6; HRMS calcd for  $C_{25}H_{34}NO_6$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 444.2386, found 444.2380.

 $(2aR, 2a<sup>1</sup>R, 4R, 5aS, 5bS, 8R, 8aS)$ -Methyl 5b-Acetoxy-8-hydroxy-4-((S)-1-(4-methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2a1 methyldecahydrocyclopenta[1,4]cyclobuta[1,2,3-cd]indole- $2(1H)$ -carboxylate (17). To a solution of 15 (188 mg, 0.39 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) at 0  $^{\circ}{\rm C}$  was added NaBH<sub>4</sub> (30 mg, 0.77 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 0 °C and then quenched by satd NH4Cl. The aqueous phase was extracted three times with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over  $Na<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (n-hexane/ ethyl acetate =  $2/1$ ) to give 17 (181 mg) in 95% yield as colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.79 (s, 4H), 4.17 (dd, J = 10.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.82−3.76 (m, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.71−3.68 (m, overlapped, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.61 (t, J = 6 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd, J = 14, 8 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (t, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 2.15−2.10 (m, 1H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 2.02−1.70 (m, 5H), 1.58−1.50 (m, 1H), 1.50−1.49 (m, 1H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 1.32−1.26 (m, 1H), 0.91 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 170.1, 156.4, 153.8, 153.5, 115.6, 114.7, 83.4, 76.7, 71.7, 64.8, 58.6, 55.8, 52.2, 50.1, 48.8, 43.3, 37.8, 36.3, 32.0, 31.1, 29.0, 23.5, 21.4, 21.2, 13.3; HRMS calcd for  $C_{27}H_{38}NO_7$  (M + H+ ) 488.2648, found 488.2634.

 $(2a<sup>1</sup>R, 6aS, 8R, 9aR)$ -Methyl 8- $((S)$ -1- $(4$ -Methoxyphenoxy)propan-2-yl)-2a<sup>1</sup>-methyl-6-oxo-2,2a<sup>1</sup>,4,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a-decahydro-1H-cyclohepta[cd]indole-1-carboxylate (18). To a stirred solution of 17 (181 mg, 0.37 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL) were added methanesulfonyl chloride (0.3 mL, 3.7 mmol) and DMAP (44 mg, 0.36 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, diluted with ethyl acetate (10 mL), and washed consecutively with satd NH<sub>4</sub>Cl  $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$  and brine  $(2 \times 5 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was dried with  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated to yield mesylate which was carried on to the next step. The mesylate was dissolved in MeOH (5 mL) and stirred with  $K_2CO_3$  (305 mg, 2.2) mmol) overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered, evaporated, diluted with water (5 mL), and extracted with ethyl acetate before drying over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $4/1$ ) to give 18 (129 mg) in 82% yield as colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.80–6.71  $(m, 4H)$ , 5.71 (d, J = 19.5 Hz, 1H), 4.27 and 4.19 (each d, J = 16.5 and 15.0 Hz, total 1H), 3.91 (t,  $J = 15$  Hz, 1H), 3.75 and 3.74 (each s, total 3H), 3.69 and 3.67 (each s, total 3H), 3.81−3,71 (m, overlapped, 2H), 3.70−3.63 and 3.53 (overlapped and dd, J = 10, 5.5 Hz, total 1H), 2.71−2.61 (m, 2H), 2.53−2.39 (overlapped, 2H), 2.29−2.19 (m, 1H), 2.05 and 1.90 (each dt, J = 13, 2.5 Hz and 13, 2.5 Hz, total 1H), 1.87− 1.77 (m, 1H), 1.76−1.70 (m, 1H), 1.70−1.55 (overlapped, 1H), 1.40 (pentet, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H), 1.20 and 1.19 (each s, total 3H), 1.10−0.92  $(m, 1H)$ , 0.94 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub> some peaks double due to amide conformational isomers)  $\delta$  213.0, 155.4, 155.1, 154.0, 153.9, 153.3, 140.8, 140.0, 121.4, 121.2, 115.6, 155.6, 114.8, 71.7, 71.7, 65.8, 65.7, 60.3, 55.8, 52.4, 52.3, 50.1, 49.7, 45.1, 44.5, 42.3, 37.5, 37.3, 37.3, 33.2, 32.4, 30.5, 30.2, 29.7, 27.0, 27.0, 24.0, 13.8, 13.7; HRMS calcd for  $C_{25}H_{34}NO_5$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 428.2437, found 428.2412.

(2a<sup>1</sup>R,6aS,8R,9aR)-Methyl 8-((S)-1-Hydroxypropan-2-yl)-2a<sup>1</sup>methyl-6-oxo-2,2a1,4,5, 6,6a,7,8,9,9a-decahydro-1Hcyclohepta[cd]indole-1-carboxylate (19). To a solution of 18 (160 mg, 0.37 mmol) in  $CH_3CN/H_2O = 4:1$  (2.5 mL) at 0 °C was added CAN (410 mg, 0.75 mmol). After being stirred for 30 min, the reaction was quenched with brine (2 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic portion was dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (nhexane/ethyl acetate =  $1/2$ ) to give 19 (120 mg) in 99% yield as yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.73 and 5.70 (each d, J = 2.8 and J = 3 Hz, total 1H), 4.23 (ddd, J = 35, 28, 3 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (td, J = 13.2, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.63 and 3.58−3.50 (dd and overlapped, J = 10, 7 Hz, total 1H), 3.57−3.42 (m, 2H), 2.71−2.60 and 2.48−2.35 (m, total 2H), 2.49 (td, J = 15, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.29−2.18 <span id="page-5-0"></span>(m, 1H), 2.05−1.96 and 1.90−1.80 (each m, total 1H), 1.72−1.47 (overlapped, total 4H), 1.36−1.27 (m, 1H), 1.19 and 1.18 (each s, total 3H), 1.09–0.92 (m, 1H), 0.85 and 0.84 (each d,  $J = 8$  and 8 Hz, total 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub> some peaks double due to amide conformational isomers) δ 213.5, 213.4, 155.3, 155.1, 140.5, 139.7, 121.4, 121.2, 65.7, 65.7, 60.2, 60.2, 52.5, 52.4, 50.0, 49.7, 45.0, 44.4, 42.4, 39.98, 39.92, 36.7, 36.5, 30.2, 29.8, 27.1, 27.0, 23.7, 13.4, 13.1; HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{28}NO_4$  (M + H<sup>+</sup>) 322.2018, found 322.2023.

 $(2a<sup>1</sup>R, 6aS, 8R, 9aR)$ -Methyl 2a1-Methyl-8- $((S)$ -1-((methylsulfonyl)oxy)propan-2- yl)-6-oxo-2,2a<sup>1</sup> ,4,5,6,6a,7,8,9,9a-decahydro-1H-cyclohepta[cd]indole-1 carboxylate (20). To a solution of 19 (120 mg, 0.373 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 mL) at 0 °C were added Et<sub>3</sub>N (160  $\mu$ L) and methylsufonyl chloride (60  $\mu$ L), and then the mixture was warmed to room temperature. After the mixture was stirred for 2 h, the reaction was quenched with brine (4 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 3 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic portion was washed with brine, dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (nhexane/ethyl acetate =  $1.5/1$ ) to give 20 (142 mg) in 95% yield as yellow oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.69 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.27−3.82 (m, overlapped, 4H), 3.65 and 3.64 (each s, total 3H), 3.59 and 3.48 (each dd,  $J = 11$ , 5.2 Hz and  $J = 11$ , 5.2 Hz, total 1H), 2.96 and 2.95 (each s, total 3H), 2.70−2.58 (m, 2H), 2.44 (td, J = 12.6, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.40−2.32 (m, 1H), 2.27−2.14 (m, 1H), 2.02−1.93 and 1.84 (m and dt,  $J = 12.8$ , 2.4 Hz, total 1H), 1.74 (pentet,  $J = 6$  Hz, 1H), 1.70−1.61 (m, 1H),1.49−1.38 (m, 1H), 1.38−1.25 (m, 1H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 0.98–0.92 (m, 1H), 0.89 (d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub> some peaks double due to amide conformational isomers) δ 212.7, 212.6, 155.1, 154.9, 140.2, 139.4, 121.5, 121.3, 72.1, 72.0, 65.3, 65.3, 59.9, 52.3, 52.2, 49.9, 49.5, 44.8, 44.2, 42.2, 37.2, 37.2, 36.8, 36.5, 32.8, 31.9, 30.2, 29.8, 26.8, 26.8, 23.6, 23.5, 13.4; HRMS calcd for  $C_{19}H_{30}NO_6S (M + H^+)$  400.1794, found 400.1777.

(2R,3S,11S,11aR,11bR)-3,11a-Dimethyl-3,4,6,8,9,11,11a,11boctahydro-1H-2,11-methanocyclohepta[a]indolizin-10(2H) one (21). To a solution of 20 (20 mg, 0.05 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (1.5 mL) was added TMSI (30 mg, 0.15 mmol). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h, quenched with satd  $Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>$  (aq), and extracted with  $CH_3OH/CH_2Cl_2 = 1:9$  (8  $\times$  2 mL). The combined organic portion was washed with brine and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was dissolved in 1.5 mL of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and 50  $\mu$ L Et<sub>3</sub>N added. After being stirred for 16 h, the mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel  $(CH_2Cl_2/$  $MeOH/Et_3N = 100:5:1$ ) to give 21 (10 mg) in 80% yield as a colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.19 (ddd, J = 7.2, 3.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dt,  $J = 13.6$ , 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (s, 1H), 3.37 (d,  $J = 13.6$  Hz, 1H), 3.25 (d, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 3.17 (dd, J = 13.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.71− 2.55 (m, overlapped, 3H), 2.50−2.40 (m, 1H), 2.30−2.22 (m, 1H), 2.21−2.13 (m, 2H), 2.15−1.90 (m, 1H), 1.90−1.71 (m, 1H), 1.64 (dd, J = 16, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.49−1.37 (m, 1H), 1.32 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  210.5, 139.0, 129.3, 66.8, 59.5, 55.7, 48.6, 41.1, 39.8, 32.5, 27.7, 26.3, 25.5, 23.6, 18.3, 16.9; HRMS calcd for  $C_{16}H_{24}NO (M + H<sup>+</sup>)$  246.1858, found 246.1852.

(2R,3S,6aS,11S,11aS,11bR)-3,11a-Dimethyldecahydro-1H-2,11-methanocyclohepta[a]indolizin-10(2H)-one (22). To a solution of 21 (4.5 mg, 0.018 mmol) in MeOH (1 mL) was added  $PtO<sub>2</sub>$  (2 mg, 0.009 mmol). Then the reaction was stirred under hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm) for 2.5 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH/Et_3N = 100:5:1)$  to give 22  $(4.2 \text{ mg})$  in 94% yield as colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.42 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.21–3.12 (m, 2H), 2.86 (dd, J = 14.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.69−2.68 (m, overlapped, 2H), 2.52 (dd, J = 11.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.50−2.41 (m, 1H), 2.40−2.30 (m, 1H), 2.09−1.96 (m, 1H), 1.95−1.65 (m, overlapped, 8H), 1.44 (dd, J = 15.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 3H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 214.0, 64.7, 56.4, 55.2, 50.2, 48.5, 43.2, 42.5, 32.1, 30.8, 28.8, 28.1, 24.7, 19.6, 19.4. 17.6; HRMS calcd for  $C_{16}H_{26}NO (M + H<sup>+</sup>)$  248.2014, found 248.2010.

(2aR,2a1S,6aS,8R,9aR)-Methyl 8-Isopropyl-2a<sup>1</sup>-methyl-6-oxododecahydro-1H-cyclohepta[cd]indole-1-carboxylate (24). To a solution of carbamate 23 (42 mg, 0.14 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added PtO<sub>2</sub> (16 mg, 0.07 mmol). Then the reaction was stirred under hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm) for 16 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate =  $8:1$ ) to give the mixture of compound 24 and corresponding alcohol. The crude products were treated with DMP (170 mg, 0.42 mmol) and  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$ (70 mg, 0.84 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL) for 3 h. The reaction was quenched with satd  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3/\text{NaHCO}_3 = 1:1$  (2 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate  $(3 \times 2 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic portion was washed with  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (2 mL) and brine (2 mL) and dried over  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . The solvent was removed under vacuum, and the resulting residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (nhexane/ethyl acetate = 8:1) to give  $24$  (32 mg) in 74% yield as colorless oil: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.64 and 3.63 (each s, total 3H), 3.58–3.48 and 3.38 (m and dd,  $J = 11$ , 6 Hz, total 2H), 3.11 and 3.05 (each t,  $J = 11$  Hz and  $J = 10.5$  Hz, total 1H), 2.65 (ddd,  $J =$ 19.6, 6.5, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.64−2.56 (m, 1H), 2.46−2.34 (m, 2H), 2.12− 2.07 and 1.96−1.90 (m, total 1H), 1.87−1.60 (m, 4H), 1.49−1.40 (m, 2H), 1.29 (ddd, J = 24.8, 12.3, 2 Hz, 1H), 1.23−1.12 (m, 1H), 1.05− 0.94 (m, 1H), 0.98 and 0.97 (each s, total 3H), 0.86−0.82 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub> some peaks double due to amide conformational isomers) δ 214.2, 155.4, 155.1, 66.6, 66.5, 60.3, 60.2, 52.2, 52.1, 50.1, 49.8, 42.2, 42.2, 41.6, 41.5, 40.8, 39.2, 38.3, 32.3, 32.3, 32.0, 31.4, 29.3, 29.1, 26.2, 22.8, 22.3, 22.2, 19.6, 19.5, 19.5, 19.4; HRMS calcd for  $C_{18}H_{30}NO_3$   $(M + H^+)$  308.2226, found 308.2220.

## ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

Experimental details and procedures, compound characterization data, and copies of  $^{1}H$ ,  $^{13}C$ , and 2D NMR spectra for new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# ■ AUTH[OR INFORMATIO](http://pubs.acs.org)N

## Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: (D.Z.W.) dzw@pkusz.edu.cn; (X.H.) haoxj@mail.kib. ac.cn.

#### Notes

[The](mailto:haoxj@mail.kib.ac.cn) authors declar[e](mailto:dzw@pkusz.edu.cn) [no](mailto:dzw@pkusz.edu.cn) [competing](mailto:dzw@pkusz.edu.cn) financial in[terest.](mailto:haoxj@mail.kib.ac.cn)

### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 20872004 and 20972008 to D.Z.W. and 20672120 to X.J.H), the National "973 Project" from the State Ministry of Science and Technology, the Shenzhen Bureau of Science, Technology and Information, and the Shenzhen "Shuang Bai Project" for generous financial support. We also thank Mr. Bo Zhang for experimental assistance and Dr. Zheng Xiang for helpful discussions.

## ■ REFERENCES

(1) Kobayashi, J.; Kubota, T. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2009, 26, 936−962.

(2) (a) Ikeda, S.; Shibuya, M.; Kanoh, N.; Iwabuchi, Y. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1833−1836. (b) Dunn, T. B.; Ellis, J. M.; Kofink, C. C.; Manning, J. R.; Overman, L. E. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5658−5661. (c) Sole, D.; Urbaneja, X.; Bonjoch, J. ́ Org. Lett. 2006, 7, 5461−5464. (d) Coldham, I.; Burrell, A. J. M.; Guerrand, H. D. S.; Oram, N. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1267−1269. (e) Xu, C.; Liu, Z.; Wang, H. F.; Zhang, B.; Xiang, Z.; Hao, X. J.; Wang, D. Z. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1812−1815. (f) Sladojevich, F.; Michaelides, L. N.; Darses, B.; Ward, J. W.; Dixon, D. J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5132–5135.

(3) Weiss, M. E.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 11501−11505.

#### <span id="page-6-0"></span>The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note

(4) (a) Di, Y. T.; He, H. P.; Ping, Y. L.; Li, Y. L.; Wu, L.; Hao, X. J. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1074−1076. (b) Mu, S. Z.; Li, C. S.; He, H. P.; Di, Y. T.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y. H.; Zhang, Z.; Lü, Y.; Zhang, L.; Hao, X. J. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1628−1631. (c) Chen, X.; Zhan, Z. J.; Yue, J. M. Helv. Chim. Acta 2005, 88, 854−860. (d) Yang, S. P.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, C. R.; Cheng, H. D.; Yue, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 79−82. (e) Zhang, H.; Yang, S. P.; Fan, C. Q.; Ding, J.; Yue, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 553−557. (f) Zhang, C. R.; Liu, H. B.; Dong, S. H.; Zhu, J. Y.; Wu, Y.; Yue, J. M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4692−2695. (g) Morita, H.; Kobayashi, J. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 2895−2898. (h) Takatsu, H.; Morita, H.; Shen, Y. C.; Kobayashi, J. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 6279−6284. (i) Yahata, H.; Kubota, T.; Kobayashi, J. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 148− 151. (j) Luo, D. Q.; Zhu, W. L.; Yang, X. L.; Liang, S. J.; Cao, Z. R. Helv. Chim. Acta 2010, 93, 1209−1215.

(5) (a) Nilsson, B. L.; Overman, L. E.; de Alaniz, J. R.; Rohde, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 11297−11299. (b) Chandra, A.; Pigza, J. A.; Han, J.; Mutnick, D.; Jonhston, J. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3470−3471.

(6) Arend, M.; Westermann, B.; Risch, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 1044−1070.

(7) (a) Hoffmann, N. Chem. Rev. 2008, 108, 1052−1103. (b) Winkler, J. D.; Bowen, C. M.; Liotta, F. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2003−2020. (c) Bach, T. Synthesis 1998, 683−703. (d) Crimmins, M. T. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis; Trost, B. M., Fleming, I., Pattenden, G., Eds.; Pergamon Press: New York, 1991; Vol. 5, p 123.

(8) Prantz, K.; Mulzer, J. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 3741−3766.

(9) Clayden, J.; Vassiliou, N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2667−2678.

(10) (a) Fukuyama, T.; Laud, A. A.; Hotchkiss, L. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 6291−6292. (b) Masaki, Y.; Yoshizawa, K.; Itoh, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 9321−9324. Unsuccessful P<sub>2</sub> protecting groups examined included TBS, TBDPS, MOM, benzyl, acetyl, etc.

(11) Corminboeuf, O.; Overman, L. E.; Pennington, L. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6650−6652.

(12) Nishikawa, T.; Asai, M.; Ohyabu, N.; Isobe, M. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 188−192.

(13) Othman, R. B.; Affani, R.; Tranchant, M. J.; Antoniotti, S.; Dalla, V.; Duñ ach, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 776−780.

(14) Winkler, J. D.; Axten, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6425− 6426.

(15) (a) Shinohara, T.; Deng, H.; Snapper, M. L.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7334−7336. (b) Sun, D.; Zhan, Q.; Li, C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5302−5305.

(16) Lange, G. L.; Organ, M. G. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5358−5361. (17) Shipe, W. D.; Sorensen, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7025− 7035.

(18) For recent references on properties and reactivities of bowlshaped structures, see: (a) Zabula, A. V.; Spisak, S. N.; Filatov, A. S.; Rogachev, A. Y.; Petrukhina, M. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2971−2974. (b) Fujihara, T.; Semba, K.; Terao, J.; Tsuji, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1472−1476.